

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,169	06/21/2007	Hisham Arnold El-Emam	3779-PAT	7230
30/84 75/9 04/30/20/8 DONN K. HARMS PATENT & TRADEMARK LAW CENTER SUITE 100 12702 VIA CORTINA DEL MAR, CA 92014			EXAMINER	
			NICKERSON, JEFFREY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/594,169 EL-EMAM, HISHAM ARNOLD Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JEFFREY NICKERSON 2142 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

 This communication is in response to Application No. 10/594,169 filed nationally on 21 June 2007 and internationally on 26 March 2004. Claims 1-7 have been examined.

Specification

- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.72(b) because it contains implied phraseology. The first line of the abstract contains the phrase "The invention relates to", which falls under the category of implied phraseology, and must be removed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 2142

4. The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.77(b) because the elements do not follow the preferred format. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections, in the order listed. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - Field of the Invention.
 Description of Related
- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2142

Claim Objections

 Claims 5-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend on a multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the

merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katsikas (WO 2001/16695 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Katsikas teaches a process for the computer-supported monitoring of the transmission of electronic messages within a data network (Katsikas: abstract), with the five following procedural steps:

 a) ascertainment of the sender identification information of an incoming electronic message (Katsikas: abstract), Application/Control Number: 10/594,169
Art Unit: 2142

 b) inquiry to an electronic database and a check to determine whether the sender identification information is registered in the database as acceptable or unacceptable sender identification information (Katsikas: abstract),

 c) transmission of the electronic message depending on the result of the check in procedural step b) (Katsikas: abstract)

characterized by the fact the entries are automatically generated in the database relating to acceptable sender identification information by means of the fact that identification information of computers connected to the data network is stored in the database at least as components of acceptable sender identification information, if the outgoing data traffic directed to these computers is registered (Katsikas: abstract).

Regarding claim 2, Katsikas teaches wherein the recipient identification information of outgoing electronic messages is stored in the database as acceptable identification information (Katsikas: abstract; pg 5, lines 12-22).

Regarding claim 3, Katsikas teaches wherein the identification information of a server computer connected to the data network is stored in the database as a component of acceptable sender identification information, if a request for a service from such server computer via the data network is registered in the outgoing data traffic (Katsikas: abstract; pg 10, lines 19-32 specifies that third party plug-ins can be used as white list address providers, such as inputs from Web sites while working with associated browsers).

Art Unit: 2142

Regarding claim 4, Katsikas teaches wherein an automatically generated entry of acceptable sender identification information is deleted from the database after the expiration of a definable time interval (Katsikas: pg 10, line 33 – pg 11, line 5 scheduled maintenance intervals for the database; See also pg 8, lines 8-19 which specify temporary approval statuses).

Cited Pertinent Prior Art

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Fleming, III (US 6,249,805 B1) discloses filtering emails based off comparing a sender address to a white list.
 - Judge (US 2003/0172292 A1) discloses a system for filtering emails
 based off comparing a sender address to a white list and automatically updating
 the white list based on various criteria, such as outgoing message recipients.

Art Unit: 2142

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JEFFREY NICKERSON whose telephone number is

(571)270-3631. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. N./

Jeffrey Nickerson Examiner, Art Unit 2142

/Andrew Caldwell/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2142